

From: JOWOLF10@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, Mike Powell, kjmweb%fcc.gov.jadelste@fcc.gov
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:03 PM
Subject: VOTE NO FCC DEREGULATIONS

NO DEREGULATION. ON FCC RULES

Although it may be too late to stop television, radio, video games, billboards, movie theaters..... from diverging into one big infomercial-

I ask that you PLEASE slow down this process and ask for public input on the FCC ruling. The merger of big industry and corporations have the power to change our entire American society.

Again, I encourage you to vote NO on FCC deregulation.

Sincerely,

Joann Reeves
Public Relations Director / Media Specialist
Girl Scouts of Michigan Trails
(616) 458-4069
(616) 784-3341 T/Th.
jowolf10@aol.com

SUNSHINE PERIOD 02-277

From: Mcevoy, Chad
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner
Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:39 PM
Subject: STOP MEDIA CONGLOMERATION

Allowing greater media conglomeration is a really bad idea.

Chad McEvoy
Seattle

From: Christopher Smith
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:50 PM
Subject: news and views

Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

Please do not ease the rules for media ownership. We need widespread ownership of media to obtain multiple views, not a single-source, Soviet-style feed of news. Thank you.

Yours truly,

Christopher Smith
1114 N. Marshall St.
Apt. 401
Milwaukee, WI 53202
email: chrisrootbeer@att.net
phone: 414-225-9627

From: PRENTICE CRAWFORD
To: Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:54 PM
Subject: Bad Judgement

Dear Commissioner,

You are a real piece of work! I guess when you leave the FCC and go to work for one of these media networks that you helped today, you will still claim that your vote was in the best interest of the "People".

The news media finally lifted it's self-imposed ban on covering this sham. The news is: media stocks are way up! They still are saying nothing about the power of the media to control public debate and censorship of opposing views. Which is what they did in regards to the "Broadcast Ownership Rules". Now, thanks to you, they will have even more power to abuse!

I am a conservative republican and I am also a member of the N.R.A.. I also served as a U.S. Marine. I did not get wealthy after protecting the interest of the american people. However, I could have benefited through betrayal. Is that how you plan to get wealthy?

I will not allow this betrayal of trust, that you have orchestrated with the media to stand! With a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, the voice of the "People" will be heard!

Prentice Crawford
Stanford KY

Do you Yahoo!?
Free online calendar with sync to Outlook(TM).

From: Richard Gagnon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:54 PM
Subject: FW: SHAME.....

----- Original Message -----

From: Richard Gagnon
To: mpowell@fcc.gov
Cc: Richard Gagnon
Sent: 6/2/03 12:40:46 PM
Subject: SHAME.....

As an American, I am totally opposed to the new FCC regulations voted upon today. I see this action as another opportunity by the moneyed elite to concentrate their power over our ever-weakening Democracy. News and information media is knowledge and power. Power has always corrupted those endowed with too much of it, and knowledge for the masses is a dangerous thing for those who have an inordinate amount of power. The power of the media in the hands of fewer and fewer people is a dangerous thing for any nation. History will judge this day as a dark moment in the FREEDOM OF AMERICANS TO BE TOLD ALL the news factually and in an un-biased way and arrive at their own conclusions. God Save America! My sincere thanks to those who voted against this ruling. Sincerely, Richard E. Gagnon.

--- Richard Gagnon
--- richvirgo@earthlink.net
--- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.

--- Richard Gagnon
--- richvirgo@earthlink.net
--- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.

CC: Richard Gagnon

From: Sam Gerardi
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:54 PM
Subject: FCC Media monopolization

Dear person,

I completely disagree with the recent change to FCC law allowing companies to monopolize the media industry.

This is un-American! These large companies can not regulate themselves! Look at how the recent deregulation of electricity gouged the public in California. It's like asking killer bees to be nice. They can't help themselves.

Your actions have taken away democracy and freedom from Americans. The right to hear the truth has been seriously compromised by your love of money. You have stopped competition and created a monopoly. These changes do not provide anything for American public and will only result in another crooked presidential election. There will no longer be free media! No free music and no free news! This allows these large companies to create propaganda without challenge. What free stations can challenge a multi-billion company?

It's obvious to me that you are another republican criminal in a suit. What happened to your ethics? Oh, I guess they were bought with a bribe. Maybe you never had any... Either way you should resign as your ethics have been compromised. You're not working for me or the American public anymore.

THIS CHANGE IS CRIMINAL!!! JUST LIKE THE PATRIOT ACT THAT THE MEDIA HAS NOT REPORTED ON!

If this issue was put up for a nation wide vote, this change would loose!

Stop it NOW!

Mr. Gerardi

From: J TALLEY
To: Undisclosed-Recipient::@fcc.gov
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:55 PM
Subject: OUCH! (#117--Corruption Perception Index)

How's that go again....."we have already determined that they are whores,,,, the only question still pending is the price"
 and we wonder if it is OK in these new days of liberal media keeping tabs on politicians and squeeling about the linclon bedroom sale prices.....I might be better if they slept in the bedroom for a donation as opposed to being able to be financially in-bedded with the administration for a few hundredthousand \$\$\$\$. It would be easier and much cheaper to clean that blue dress of the willing vs the trillions for the kids of the future to correct..

Subject: OUCH! (#117--Corruption Perception Index)

>
 >
 >
 > ----Original Message Follows----
 > From: ouch-admin@ouch.org
 > Reply-To: ouch-reply@ouch.org
 > To: ouch@ouch.org
 > Subject: OUCH! (#117--Corruption Perception Index)
 > Date: Mon, 02 Jun 2003 10:00:51 -0400
 > MIME-Version: 1.0
 > Received: from mc3-f3.law16.hotmail.com ([65.54.236.138]) by
 > mc3-s1.law16.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(5.0.2195.5600); Mon, 2 Jun
 > 2003 07:57:02 -0700
 > Received: from colo1.sifry.com ([216.88.157.145]) by
 > mc3-f3.law16.hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC(5.0.2195.5600); Mon, 2 Jun
 > 2003 07:55:03 -0700
 > Received: from colo1.sifry.com (mailman@colo1 [127.0.0.1]) by
 colo1.sifry.com
 > (8.12.3/8.12.3/Debian -4) with ESMTP id h52EsBAN028793; Mon, 2 Jun 2003
 > 07:54:11 -0700
 > Received: from exchange.publiccampaign.org ([207.224.18.74]) by
 > colo1.sifry.com (8.12.3/8.12.3/Debian -4) with ESMTP id h52E2VAN026909 for
 > <ouch@ouch.org>; Mon, 2 Jun 2003 07:02:32 -0700
 > Received: from publiccampaign.org (ool-182dd0b1.dyn.optonline.net
 > [24.45.208.177]) by exchange.publiccampaign.org with SMTP (Microsoft
 Exchange
 > Internet Mail Service Version 5.5.2650.21) id LJ6K10LG; Mon, 2 Jun 2003
 > 10:07:10 -0400
 > X-Message-Info: +va6sINXO+87E9v5CXOsKAWQjuT/vdYb
 > Message-ID: <3EDB5893.1070705@publiccampaign.org>
 > User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Win98; en-US; rv:1.1) Gecko/20020826
 > X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
 > Sender: ouch-admin@ouch.org
 > Errors-To: ouch-admin@ouch.org
 > X-BeenThere: ouch@ouch.org
 > X-Mailman-Version: 2.0.5
 > Precedence: bulk

> X-Reply-To: ouch@ouch.org
> List-Help: <mailto:ouch-request@ouch.org?subject=help>
> List-Post: <mailto:ouch@ouch.org>
> List-Subscribe:
>
<http://www.ouch.org/mailman/listinfo/ouch>,<mailto:ouch-request@ouch.org?subject=subscribe>
> List-Id: OUCH! How Money in Politics Hurts You <ouch.ouch.org>
> List-Unsubscribe:
>
<http://www.ouch.org/mailman/listinfo/ouch>,<mailto:ouch-request@ouch.org?subject=unsubscribe>
> List-Archive: <http://www.ouch.org/pipermail/ouch/>
> Return-Path: ouch-admin@ouch.org
> X-OriginalArrivalTime: 02 Jun 2003 14:55:05.0139 (UTC)
> FILETIME=[F3B72030:01C32916]

> ----->\$\$\$\$\$<-----

> OUCH! A Regular Bulletin on How Money in Politics Hurts You
>
> #117 Public Campaign
> June 2, 2003

> ----->\$\$\$\$\$<-----

> CORRUPTION PERCEPTION INDEX

>
> Total number of individuals Bush campaign identified as "Pioneers" in
> 1999-2000 for having raised at least \$100,000 for his presidential
campaign:
> 226
>
> Actual number of "Pioneers" recently disclosed in lawsuit documents
> uncovered by Texans for Public Justice and the National Voting Rights
> Institute: 538
>
> Largest amount raised for Bush-Cheney 2000 by a single "Pioneer," Michigan
> real estate magnate Ronald Weiser, now U.S. Ambassador to the Slovak
> Republic: \$588,309
>
> Number rewarded with ambassadorships: 19
>
> Number of \$100,000 contributors to the first President Bush's 1988
campaign
> who were rewarded with ambassadorships: 6
>
> Amount a Bush supporter will have to raise for his 2004 campaign to be
> recognized as a "Ranger," one step above a "Pioneer": \$200,000
>
> Amount raised by President Bush for the Republican congressional campaign
> committees on May 21, 2003: \$22 million
>
> Amount of time the President spoke at the event: 24 minutes
>
> Amount of time at the event he spent posing for photos with top
fundraisers:

- > 40 minutes
- >
- > Number of letters mailed by Bush-Cheney '04 to potential campaign donors on
- > May 16, the day President Bush began his re-election campaign: more than 1 million
- >
- > Cost of a backstage photo with President Bush at a June 23 Manhattan fundraiser luncheon: donor must raise \$20,000 for Bush's 2004 re-election
- >
- > Cost of a "leadership luncheon" with Karl Rove, President Bush's senior political adviser: donor must raise \$50,000 for Bush's re-election
- >
- > Cost of coffee or lunch with President Clinton during his 1995-1996 re-election campaign: \$50,000 contribution to the Democratic National Committee
- >
- > Cost of coffee or lunch with President Bush during his 2003-04 re-election campaign: not yet determined.
- >
- > For footnotes sourcing these factoids, go to www.publiccampaign.org
- > -----
- > OUCH! is a regular e-mail bulletin on how private money in politics hurts average citizens, published by Public Campaign, a non-partisan, non-profit organization devoted to comprehensive campaign finance reform. Every day, we
- > pay more as consumers and taxpayers for special interest subsidies and boondoggles because of our system of privately financed elections. It's time
- > for a change.
- >
- > Help spread the word! Send copies of this message to your friends and join the growing movement for real campaign finance reform. If you would like to
- > add yourself to the OUCH! listserv, send an e-mail reading 'subscribe' to ouch-request@ouch.org or go to <http://www.ouch.org/mailman/listinfo/ouch>.
- >
- > Want more info about Public Campaign? Visit www.publiccampaign.org or write to info@publiccampaign.org. You can also help support our work by making a credit card contribution on our website. This bulletin may be reposted to newsgroups as long as it is printed in its entirety.
- >
- >
- > _____
- > MSN 8 helps eliminate e-mail viruses. Get 2 months FREE*.
- > <http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>
- >

From: Beverly Rolfsmeyer
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 3:58 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Beverly Rolfsmeyer (brofsmeyer@juno.com) writes:

You were brilliant this morning. You should run for office, perhaps for President of the Green Party. Thank god for someone to pick up the flag against rich corporate greed, and the neo-conservative take-over. I have been forever lamenting the Democrats inability to fight the Bush administration, and I applaud you for your leadership. Many of us will continue to fight the FCC majority. You have set the tone.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 67.74.78.96
Remote IP address: 67.74.78.96

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:03 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

Protect your PC - Click here for McAfee.com VirusScan Online

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:04 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

MSN 8 helps ELIMINATE E-MAIL VIRUSES. Get 2 months FREE*.

From: Joseph Voelker
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:04 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Joseph Voelker (joevoelkerxbs@yahoo.com) writes:

Thanks for the no vote on rule changes.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 156.56.138.160
Remote IP address: 156.56.138.160

From: Tina2talk2u@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:04 PM
Subject: FCC HEARING RESPONSE, SOMETHING MUST HAPPEN

HELLO,

PLEASE TAKE THE TIME TO READ THE IMPORTANT INFO IN THIS MESSAGE.

TODAY WAS THE FIRST TIME I SAW YOU IN THE MANY HEARINGS AND DEBATES ON THIS ISSUE.
 SURPRISE.....

IT DOES NOT SEEM THAT THE VOTE TAKEN TODAY WAS PROPERLY RESEARCHED. FOR THE MEDIA TO CONTINUE TO MANIPULATE THE PUBLIC.
 AS THEY DO.
 FACTS....

LOOK UP THE TOWN WHERE A CONCERNED CITIZEN CALLED THE RADIO STATION FOR A TORNADO SIGHTING ALERT TO BE ANNOUNCED.

THE RADIO STATION, SOLD, BUT FAILED TO INSURE THAT THE COMMUNITY UNDERSTOOD THAT NONE WOULD BE IN THEIR CITY TO ACCOMMODATE THEM...
 FOR TERRORIST ALERTS.....UNACCEPTABLE.

. THIS WILL HAPPEN ON A LARGE SCALE, ONCE OUR "HOMELAND SECURITY" IMPLEMENTS THE "NATIONWIDE WARNING, NETWORK SYSTEM."
 THAT IS PLANNING, LOGICALLY, UNTIL THEY FIND WHAT THE BROADCAST SYSTEM IS ALREADY TO CONTINUE TO DO, THE HUGE NETWORK SYSTEM WILL HAVE THE OPTIONS OF SELECTING A SPECIFIC AREAS INDEPENDENTLY AND IN PROGRAMMED SEQUENCE TO REACH EVERY LAST PERSON, TOWN, ETC.

BY THE WAY, THE MEDIA ALERT SYSTEM'S JOB IS CONTRACTED OUT, SO PLEASE SEE TO IT THAT ALL ARE INFORMED OF THESE INCIDENTS.
 I WILL LIKE TO KNOW THAT YOU'VE DONE THIS, AND WHO YOU, IN FACT INFORMED.

SHOULD I BRING THIS TO OUR "HOMETOWN SECURITY'S" ATTENTION OR WILL YOU?
 I'LL LEAVE IT TO YOU, AS I'M SURE YOU CAN MAKE SURE THAT THE RIGHT PEOPLE ARE NOTIFIED.
 WITH YOU TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT GO ALONG WITH YOUR VOTE,

2. ONE NETWORK BOUGHT ANOTHER NETWORK AND NOW IN TOWNS, CITIES, THE SAME STATION IS REPEATED ON DIFFERENT CHANNELS.....THE PUBLIC DOES NOT GET MORE DIVERSITY BY THE MERGING AND MONOPOLIZING THE AIR. I WONDER WHAT PROGRAM COULD HAVE REPLACED IT? ANOTHER "R" RATED CONTENT SO NOW TO SELECT A CHOICE OF VIEWING, I HAVE TO SEE THE OBSCENE STATION TWICE? WOULD YOU PLEASE SEND ME A DIRECT TV PACKAGE PAID BY YOU SO I CAN USE THE BLOCKING FEATURE FOR LOCKING OUT THEIR SELECTED OPTIONS, FOR THE SAKE OF SOME SORT OF CHOICE I WOULD HAVE WITHOUT THE FCC, OR A DIFFERENT COMMITTEE, BEING OUR WATCHDOG?

I'M SURE YOU KNOW THIS INFORMATION. ON THE HEARING TODAY, I LEARNED HOW TIME CONSUMING AND DIFFICULT YOUR WORK HAS BEEN TO ARRIVE AT YOUR DECISION. YOU KNOW WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF.....WHO ?????

WITH THE MEDIA, ON IT'S OWN, ACCOMMODATING THE PUBLIC'S INTEREST, THERE'S A POSSIBILITY THAT ON ANY EVENING OF THE WEEK A FAMILY WILL BE ABLE TO SIT TOGETHER AND WATCH A CLEAN CUT ENTERTAINING FEATURE.

PLEASE SEND THE "DIRECT TV PACKAGE" TO SHOW CONCERN FOR MY FAMILY, TO CHOOSE OUR VIEWING THAT IS IN ALIGNMENT WITH OUR MORALS. INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROGRAMMING OFFERED.

I'M CONFIDENT THAT YOUR COMMITTEE CAN COME UP WITH THE FUNDS TO LET ALL THE LEFT OUT PEOPLE, WHO CONTACT YOU TO BE FAIR.

MAILING ADDRESS:

TINA RUTHERFORD
3009 E. BLOOMFIELD RD.
PHOENIX ARIZONA 85032

CC: mckjmweb@fcc.gov, FCC FCCINFO, FOIA, Webmaster, Campaignlaw,
QUALEXINT@aol.com

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:06 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

The new MSN 8: smart spam protection and 2 months FREE*

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:06 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

Add photos to your messages with MSN 8. Get 2 months FREE*.

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:06 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

Protect your PC - [Click here for McAfee.com VirusScan Online](#)

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:06 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

Tired of spam? Get advanced junk mail protection with MSN 8.

From: shawn saindon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 4:06 PM
Subject: STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

STOP LOOSENING MEDIA OWNERSHIP LIMITS

NO MORE MEDIA MONOPOLIES!!!

Protect your PC - Click here for McAfee.com VirusScan Online

From: Sylvia J Large
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 11:50 PM
Subject: Dish Network

Dear Madam,

I have been recommended by Dish Network to contact you in regards to our Dish Network account. We have been with Dish Network for 6 1/2 years, and have always paid for East and West Network Channels. This has become a part of our life finding that we can watch network programming at more convenient times for our lifestyle. We received the word that now we can have our local channels on our Dish, and by having this they will be removing our East and West Network channels on June 16, 2003. We do not want to subscribe to the local channels, and want to KEEP our EAST and WEST NETWORK CHANNELS. How can this happen.

When this has been our choice for these 6 1/2 years, and we have paid for this service we should be able to keep this. We enjoy the programming and personalities on the NY and LA channels and we do not find the local programming our desires or the habits that we have made watching certain programs. Please help to keep our EAST and WEST Network channels.

Thank You,

Sylvia Jean and Roger Large
Sevierville, TN

From: Phree Soal
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:01 AM
Subject: FCC Please Vote "NO"

Dear Chairman Powell and Commissioners Abernathy, Copps, Martin, and Adelstein:

I am writing to urge the FCC to vote "NO" on the proposed rule changes that would extend the market dominance of the five major media corporations.

I believe that these proposals would all out squelch competition, stifle debate and truly hinder rather than encourage free expression.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

N. G. Evans
phreesoal@hotmail.com

MSN 8 with e-mail virus protection service: 2 months FREE*
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>

From: Sandra Bissell
To: KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:01 AM
Subject: Fwd: Media Ownership Rules

>From: sjbissell@hotmail.com
>To: kjmweb@fcc.gov, mpowell@fcc.gov, kabernat@fcc.gov
>Subject: Media Ownership Rules
>Date: 1 Jun 2003 11:02:52 -0700
>
>Before you vote on June 2nd to loosen media ownership rules, please take a
>moment to consider what effect such a move will have on program content.
>
>We know that television can be profoundly influential in the lives of
>innocent young children. It affects their perceptions, their world-view,
>their attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors. It is also a sad reality that
>children spend more time with the television than at any other activity
>except sleep. But huge mega-conglomerates aren't going to be concerned
>about how the programming they are putting on TV influences these
>impressionable youngsters. -They're only going to be looking at their
>profit margins.
>
>Further deregulation will not mean greater opportunity for competition.
>Rather, it will mean the opposite: More control of the airwaves by the few,
>with even less accountability to the market than they demonstrate today.
>
>The concept of community standards is alien to the suits in New York. Their
>bottom-line programming philosophy means bottom-of-the-barrel programming,
>and quality be damned.
>
>Locally-based station owners know better than network executives in New
>York and Los Angeles what is best for their communities.
>
>I urge you to fully consider what is truly in the public's best interest,
>as opposed to what is in the best interest of a handful of major
>conglomerates. Please do not relax the media ownership rules.
>
>Sincerely,
> Sandra Bissell
>sandra.bissell

MSN 8 with e-mail virus protection service: 2 months FREE*
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>

From: Allyson Collins
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:07 AM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Allyson Collins (allysn584@aol.com) writes:

Vote against the media rule change!

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 198.81.26.139
Remote IP address: 198.81.26.139

From: The Clarks
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:08 AM
Subject: "Broadcast Ownership Rules" vote on June 2nd.

Please consider the following in deciding whether or not to change the present rules for the "Broadcast Ownership Rules" vote on June 2nd. If proposed "broadcast ownership rules" are adopted, independent voices in cities across the United States could be snuffed out by huge media corporations.

- Whole communities and even whole states and regions could be dominated by media companies that would have the power to decide which viewpoints to allow on the air and which to censor.

- The FCC, controlled by five unelected officials, has conducted its decision-making process with only one public hearing and very little time for the public to react.

- Many of the corporations fighting for these rule changes -- including media giants Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC -- demonstrate a strong anti-gun bias in their news coverage and programming.

Thank you for your time,

Rylan Clark

clarkfam@urx.com

From: Mark A. Cannady
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:13 AM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Mark A. Cannady (macannady@cox.net) writes:

June 1, 2003

Commissioner Adelstein,

I urge you to reconsider holding the current vote set for this Tuesday. It is not in the best interest of the democracy of the United States to further concentrate the publicly-leased air ways in the hands of a few major corporations. It is freedom of speech that brings forth innovative ideas and it is small-business risk taking that is the life-blood of market ingenuity. Established companies do not take risks and are slow to embrace thinking outside of the box. Such attitudes would have prevented companies like Microsoft from existing and the ambitions of persons like Bill Gates or Steve Case would have been surely muffled into non-existence. In my state of Virginia we're better off for having the likes of persons like Steve Case and companies like Microsoft reside here in the "East Coast Silicon Valley". It would be imprudent and short-sighted kill the goose that lays the golden eggs for ill-advised political or economic gain.

Please take this urging seriously because there are many Americans who feel exactly as I do.

Good day to you and stay blessed.

Cordially,

Mark A. Cannady
United States Citizen
202 256 7362 mobile

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 68.100.51.3
Remote IP address: 68.100.51.3

From: Mark Anderson
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:15 AM
Subject: Decision

Dear Chairman Powell:

I understand that you are going to decide on whether to relax merging and ownership rules for media companies.

I ask that you keep the current rules in place rather than weaken them. Considering that the public owns the airways and that my request is better than the alternative for the majority, I think this is the logical choice.

For our country's health and safety, please reinforce the laws of fairness and truth--be they for or only parallel to free market forces. We the people finance public media, so the information disseminators should devote some public time for the common good.

Thank you,
Virginia Anderson

From: Mark Anderson
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:17 AM
Subject: Decision

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I understand that you are going to decide on whether to relax merging and ownership rules for media companies.

I ask that you keep the current rules in place rather than weaken them. Considering that the public owns the airways and that my request is better than the alternative for the majority, I think this is the logical choice.

For our country's health and safety, please reinforce the laws of fairness and truth--be they for or only parallel to free market forces. We the people finance public media, so the information disseminators should devote some public time for the common good.

Thank you,
Virginia Anderson

From: James Costello
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:27 AM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

James Costello (jcostello@igc.org) writes:

Mr. Adelstein,

As a concerned citizen, I wish to express my opposition to further deregulation of media ownership. Local communities must retain some local control of local media for their safety as well as for local diversity.

Large corporate media have control of too much information dissemination as it is.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

James Costello
1849 Richard Way
Ceres, CA 95307

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 216.175.120.148
Remote IP address: 216.175.120.148

From: Margo A. Braver
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:28 AM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Margo A. Braver (margobraver@aol.com) writes:

ANSWER, PLEASE: How exactly would the new "looser" regulations benefit THE PUBLIC???? We own the airwaves, after all.

Your job is NOT about making commerce easier for the conglomerates! You are supposed to be serving the public, sir.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 198.81.26.139
Remote IP address: 198.81.26.139